

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 10/076,480 Morris Ostrowiecki 1749 02/19/2002 **EXAMINER** 02/18/2004 7590 MORRIS OSTROWIECKI LOPEZ, FRANK D 5-10-20 MINAMI AOYAMA, MINATO-KU **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 107-0062 TOKYO, **JAPAN** 3745 DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/076,480	OSTROWIECKI, MÖRRIS	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		F. Daniel Lopez	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	•	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	on of Claims	•		
,	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[_]	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) <u></u> □ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tr				

Application/Control Number: 10/076,480

Art Unit: 3745

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a spring, classified in class 267, subclass 71.
- II. Claim 3-9, drawn to a bicycle pump having means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, classified in class 92, subclass 145.
- III. Claim 10-23, drawn to a bicycle pump, classified in class 92, subclass 58.1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed since claims 5-7 are evidence claims of such. The subcombination has separate utility such as a spring to bias a piston in one direction (i.e. springs have many well known purposes).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a spring to bias a piston in one direction (i.e. springs have many well known purposes), and claim 13 is evidence of having separate utility (i.e. having a different species to prevent sliding down a support post). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Invention II is unrelated to invention III. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the bicycle pump of invention II can not be used with invention I, since the bicycle pump of invention II is conventional, and the bicycle pump of invention III is presumed to not be conventional.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.



Application/Control Number: 10/076,480

Art Unit: 3745

Invention II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species I as the means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, is shown in fig 2A; species II is means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, as shown in fig 2B; species III is means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, as shown in fig 5; species IV is means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, as shown in fig 7A; and species V is means for preventing the pump from sliding down a hollow shaft, as shown in fig 9D.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121, if invention II is elected, above, to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 3, 8 and 9 are generic to the species of invention II; and claim 4 is generic to the species I, II, III and V.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,480

Art Unit: 3745

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 308-0008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM -4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

F. Daniel Lopez

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3745

February 11, 2004